

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
MAY 16 2005

O'SHEA, GETZ & KOSAKOWSKI, P.C.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
PATENTS TRADEMARKS AND COPYRIGHTS

1500 MAIN STREET, SUITE 912
SPRINGFIELD, MASSACHUSETTS 01115

TELEPHONE (413) 731-3100
WRITER'S EXT.: 103

FAX (413) 731-3101
E-Mail RGSTZ@OCKLAW.COM

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

TO: Commissioner for Patents
FAX NO: 1-703-872-9306
DATE: May 16, 2005
FROM: Richard D. Getz
RE: U.S. Pat. Appl. Serial No. 10/074,990

NO. OF PAGES TO FOLLOW: 3

REMARKS:

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS BEING FACSIMILE
TRANSMITTED TO THE U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE, FACSIMILE
NUMBER:

1-703-872-9306

ON THE DATE INDICATED BELOW

SARAH L. HENRY

TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF PERSON TRANSMITTING PAPER

Sarah L. Henry
SIGNATURE OF PERSON MAILING PAPER OR FEE

5/16/05
DATE

The documents transmitted by this facsimile are intended for the use of the individual or the entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of the message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this document to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and return the original facsimile to us at the above address via the Postal Service. Thank you.

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAY 16 2005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In the Application of:	:	Docket No.: 5173-0006
MICHAEL J. SCOTT et al.	:	Date: May 16, 2005
Serial No.: 10/074,990	:	Group Art Unit: 1761
Filing Date: 13 February 2002	:	Examiner: R. Alexander

Title: ELECTRIC BEVERAGE MAKER

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Dear Sirs:

In response to the non-final Office Action mailed on February 16, 2005, having an expiration date of May 16, 2005, applicants provide the present response.

Claims 1-38 and 41-43 are pending. Claims 1-9, 11-21, 23, and 25-38 are rejected, claims 41-43 are allowed, and claims 10, 22 and 24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Applicants thank the Examiner for his allowance and conditional allowance of the aforesaid claims.

Remarks begin on page 2 of this response.